

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,941	06/25/2001	Terry D. Beard	115-21-099 2621		
23935	7590 08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
•	ACOBS, PATRICK &	PENDLETON, BRIAN T			
555 ST. CHA SUITE 107	RLES DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
			1,941	BEARD, TERRY D.				
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Brian T	. Pendleton	2644				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS			
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (10) period for reply is specified above, the maximum soure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be tin statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status	•		.•					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>01 April 200</u> 5	<b>5</b> .					
2a)□								
3)	Since this application is in condition	•		osecution as to the me	erits is			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-21,23-38,40-55,57-6</u>	58 and 86-121 is/are	nending in the application	'n.				
7)23	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-14</u> ,			•	121 is/ara			
withdraw	n from consideration.	10 £1, £0-01, 04-0	<u>0, 40-40, 02-00, 07-04, 0</u>	10-100, 100, and 110-	<u>121</u> 13/a16			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		**	**				
6)⊠		107 and 100 islare i	w rejected	*				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	TOT AND TOS ISTAIC	ejecteu.					
· —	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement	•				
باره	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	ir requirement.	9. <b>.</b>				
Applicat	tion Papers			• ,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have by documents have by of the priority docu	peen received. Deen received in Application Deen received Deen received Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmer	• •							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	DTO 040'	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) 🔀 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/891,941

Art Unit: 2644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-3, 5-14, 20, 21, 23-31, 35-38, 40-48, 54, 55, 57-64, 86-106, 108, and 110-121 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/1/05.

Claims 18, 19, 34, 52, and 53 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/1/05.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-17, 32, 33, 49-51, 65-68, 107 and 109 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5, 24, 26, 44, 47, 64, 66, 80, 82, 89, and 91 of U.S. Patent No. 6,252,965. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the inventive concept is the same. Specifically, the prior patent claims and the instant claims recite establishing mapping

Art Unit: 2644

, .... X

coefficients for conditioning an audio signal on a first set of channels to be reconfigured onto a second set of channels wherein the mapping coefficients are established for each temporal aperture period. It was obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the instant and prior patent claims accomplish the same task similarly.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Examiner Art Unit 2644

3.2.2

btp